CHOKED OUT: BATTLING INVASIVE GIANT CANE (ARUNDO DONAX) ALONG THE RIO GRANDE/BRAVO BORDERLANDS

M.K. Briggs* (RiversEdge West), H. M. Poulos (Wesleyan University), J. Renfrow (Rio Grande Scientific Support Services (RGSSS)), J. Ochoa-Espinoza (Comisión Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas), D. Larson (Big Bend National Park), P. Manning (Sul Ross State University (retired)), and J. Sirotnak (Bureau of Land Management), K. Crawford (RGSSS)





The Binational Team

The Binational Team

- Comision Nacional de Areas Naturales Protegidas (CONANP)
- **Big Bend National Park**
- **Community Leaders**
- **Texas Parks and Wildlife Department/WMA**
- Universities: Universidad de Antonio Narro, Sul Ross State University, Utah State University, Chihuahua University
- Businesses and Foundations: Fundacion para la Nautraleza (Carlos Slim), The Coca-Cola Company, Dorris Duke Foundation, Friends of BIBE
- Other Agencies: IBWC/CILA, CONAGUA, USGS, NOAA
- Private Organizations: RGSSS, CEMEX, WWF, Fuego Verde, Rio Grande Joint Venture

THE DEBATE

To Manage Arundo or Not to Manage Arundo?

That was the Question (back in 2009)

Factors in Support of Managing Giant Cane

- Positive feedback on channel narrowing
- Decline of high quality of riparian hábitat
- Loss of campsites

Provide State

- Decline in aesthetics
- ✓ Loss of river access
- Support long-term binational visión for RGB

Factors Not in Support of Managing Giant Cane

- Does not address causes of expansion
- Rabbit hole of managment
- Eradiation methods and cost
- ✓ Use of herbicide

THE DEBATE

To Manage Arundo or Not to Manage Arundo?

That was the Question (back in 2009)

Factors in Support of Managing Giant Cane ✓ Positive feedback on channel narrowing

A President

Factors Not in Support of Managing Giant Cane

The hydrology of the Rio Grande/Rio Bravo is fundamentally altered



The Rio Grande/Bravo System is Highly Modified

Downstream segments have greatly reduced stream flow with abundant sediment supply



RIVERS ARE RIVERS OF WATER AND SEDIMENT

General Geomorphic Model of Channel Change along Rio Grande/Bravo



From David Dean, Utah State University (2010)

Rio Grande/Bravo at Tornillo Creek confluence, Approximately 1936 (Big Bend NP, archive)

Hot Springs

Rio Grande/Bravo at Tornillo Creek confluence, 2006 (Big Bend NP, archive)



THE DEBATE

To Manage Arundo or Not to Manage Arundo?

That was the Question

Factors in Support of Managing Giant Cane

- Positive feedback on channel narrowing
- Decline (burying) of high quality of riparian and aquatic hábitat
- ✓ Loss of campsites
- ✓ Decline in aesthetics
- ✓ Loss of river access

Factors Not in Support of Managing Giant Cane

- Does not address causes of expansion
- Rabbit hole of management
- Eradiation methods and cost
 - Use of herbicide

THE DECISION (2010)

Move forward with giant cane management at pilot scale and expand from there

GIANT CANE MANGAGEMENT:

and a

PRESCRIBE BURN
TREAT RESPROUTS W HERBICIDE
RE-TREAT

















MONITORING



Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

RESULTS: 100 Km OVERALL LENGTH OF RIVER TREATED



TREAMENT METHODS ARE EFFECTIVE IN KILLING CANE

<u>COSTS</u>

Average Cost: \$6,175 and \$12,350 per ha (includes prescribe burn and first

treatment)

Secondary Treatment: ~ 1/5 cost of primary treatment (\$1,235 to \$2,470 per ha)

By helicopter: \$3,500/ha

XXAAAAAA

Maintenance Costs: ~ \$2,000/ha (routine follow-up every 3 to 5 years)

RESULTS: RESPONSE OF RIPARIAN VEGETATION COMMUNITY



CHANNEL MORPHOLOGY (anecdotal)

LOOKING FORWARD SOME POSITIVE RESULTS

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

LOOKING FORWARD SOME POSITIVE RESULTS

Desirable riparian vegetation and channel morphology response
Management methods prove effective in eradicating cane
Eradication methods not prohibitively expensive
Maintenance costs are not prohibitive
Strong support from local riverside communities and boaters
Positive results could be foundation for additional work

SOME IMPORTANT UNKNOWNS AND CAVEATS

WHAT HAPPENS AFTER THE NEXT RESET FLOOD EVENT?

Rio Conchos at Rio Grande/Bravo, Ojinaga, México (September 2008)

Release of the Arundo wasp (*Tetramesa romana***)**

Strong Regeneration of Coyote Willow (Salix exigua)

THANK YOU

Mark Briggs RiversEdge West (520) 548 4045 mbriggs@riversedgewest.org Developed at a Bi-National Workshop Convened at Sul Ross State University, Alpine, Texas November 12-13, 2008

Preamble

We, the citizens of the two nations that straddle the Rio Grande/Rio Bravo, as well as the participants of the bi-national BIG BEND RIO GRANDE/RIO BRAVO WORKSHOP pledge to form a binational task force whose goal is to preserve, enhance and restore the riverine ecosystem and freshwater biodiversity of the Rio Bravo/Grande, from the Rio Conchos to Lake Amistad, for the benefit of humans and nature. To this end, we recognize that

No Dravo/ No Granue vision

- A river cross-section form that is relatively wide and shallow;
- A diverse patchy and discontinuous riparian plant community where no specific non-native species is dominant;
- An aquatic habitat that will sustain and enhance the distribution and extent of native river biota, including native fish assemblages - including the silvery minnow - aquatic macroinvertebrates and other key components that are indicative of the system's health and function;