Source Climate Means, Variability, and Predictability Drive Phenotypic Plasticity in Fremont Cottonwood

Hillary Cooper, L Andrews, J Corbin, I Garthwaite, M Eisenring, R Lindroth, K Grady, C Gehring, K Hultine, T Whitham, G Allan, and R Best



Outline

I. Climate-driven trait plasticity

Experimental Design
Traits and plasticity
Can we predict where plasticity evolves?

II. New Drought x Tamarisk experiments

Replicated common gardens planted with 16 populations of Fremont cottonwood





Simulated herbivory

- 2019-2021
- Hole punched leaves to mimic natural herbivory
- Sprayed Jasmonic Acid on the hole punched branches
- All 3 gardens to look at chemical plasticity across climates and to herbivory



Traits

- Phenology
 - Fall budset
 - Spring bud flush
- Specific Leaf Area
- Phytochemistry
 - Defense: Total phenolic glycosides, tannins
 - Structural: Fiber and lignin
 - N, C, C:N
- Height and trunk diameter



Phenotypic plasticity

The ability of a given genotype to change its phenotype in response to environmental variation

With rapid climate change in the Southwest and long generation of forest trees, plasticity is likely a key mechanism by which cottonwoods will respond to and persist in novel climates.



Big Question

Can we predict where increased plasticity has evolved across the landscape?



Phenotypic plasticity is significantly correlated with source climate



Eisenring et al. 2022 Glob Chang Biol.

Plasticity Evolution Theory:

Hyp: Increased plasticity evolves in environments that are *variable & predictable*

Plasticity Evolution Theory: Hyp: Increased plasticity evolves in environments that are *variable & predictable*



Plasticity Evolution Theory: Hyp: Increased plasticity evolves in environments that are *variable* & *predictable*

(b) Does phenotypic plasticity increase with climatic variability?

Recent plant meta-analysis shows low evidence for plasticity- variability relationship.

(Stotz et al. 2021 Ecol. Lett.)



Plasticity Evolution Theory:

Hyp: Increased plasticity evolves in environments that are *variable & predictable*

Our model:

Trait Plasticity ~ climate mean + climate variability + climate predictability



Climate variables: WorldClim and PDSI

000

120 years of climate data (1900-2020)

1. Temperature variables

Bioclim 5 = Max temp of the warmest month Bioclim 6 = Min temp of the coldest month Bioclim 4 = Temperature seasonality

2. Precipitation variables

Bioclim 19 = Winter precipitation Bioclim 18 = Summer precipitation Bioclim 15 = Precipitation seasonality

3. Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI)



Climate variability = mean of the decadal CVs

Mean annual temperature (bioclim 1)

Decade

2 -

Climate predictability = mean temporal autocorrelation coefficient, rho (ρ)

 $\bar{p} = 0$ $\bar{p} = 0.5$ $\bar{p} = 0.5$

12

11

CT-ME

— TSE-TUM — TSZ-SAN — WHY-HAS Leung et <u>al. 2020</u> Ecol. Lett.

5

 $\bar{\rho} = 0.9$



Is plasticity significantly related to climate variability and predictability?

Ex: Tannin plasticity ~ summer precipitation mean + cv + rho



Chemistry: Total PGs

 Precipitation means and CVs explained the most variation in plasticity



Phenology: Budset

Many climate variables and all three components of climate were significant, however low explanatory power

(CV across yrs)



Plasticity Evolution Theory:

Hyp: Increased plasticity evolves in environments that are *variable & predictable*

Yes! We found climate **means**, variability, & **predictability** all explained differences in plasticity across our 16 populations

However, their significance varied by trait and climate variable.

Climate-driven plasticity conclusions

- Earlier work showed climate-driven selection on trait plasticity across populations
- The components of climate (mean, cv, ρ) that drive plasticity depended on the climate variable and trait. Generally,
 - Climate **means** and **variability (CV)** > climate predictability (ρ)
 - **Precipitation** variables > Temperature
 - Summer and Winter Temperatures and PDSI did not explain much variance in plasticity
 - Seasonality variables were usually significant
 - In summary, precipitation means & variability along with yearly seasonality variables (temp & precip) best explained plasticity differences among populations.

Continuing work: specific climate change impacts of **drought** and **tamarisk** across cottonwood hybrid

zones



Hultine et al. 2020 Cons. Phys.

I. Common Garden: drought



II. Greenhouse experiment: drought x tamarisk

	Cottonwood	Tamarisk
Watered	Control	Tamarisk
Drought	Drought	Both







Thank you! U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOF





The Nature Conservancy

Southwest Experimental Garden Array

Center for Adaptable Western Landscapes

Management implications: Can we predict anything?

Trait and plastic responses are *highly* context-dependent. Therefore, restoration efforts should:

- 1. Prioritize traits of interest for restoration goals (not all traits perform the same).
- 2. Account for both the past evolutionary history of source populations and the future environments of restoration sites to have predictive power.

Leaf spectra

Entire spectra shows a large signal of tamarisk competition. Drought effects are next, followed by differences among crosstypes. We are working to scale up this remote sensing to landscape levels to detect river systems under both invasive and drought stress.



Model results for 4 traits

Plasticity ~ mean + CV + rho



Mean: significant for 3 traits (in 5/7 climate variables) CV: significant for 4 traits (in 4/7 climate variables) Rho: significant for 2 traits (in 3/7 climate variable)

Chemistry: Nitrogen

Seasonality variables CV and rho were most important



Not always high CV and rho! Some trait plasticities are higher in low variability or low predictability environments!



Ex: N plasticity to

Tamarisk collections

Mixed Model

- Licor traits so far: conductance, photosynthesis, leaf temperature
- Explanatory variables:
 - 1. River (random)
 - 2. Crosstype (fixed)
 - 3. Drought (fixed)
 - 4. Neighbor (Tam vs CW) (fixed)



Model results

1. Leaf Temperature

XT, Drought, Neighbor, Drought*Neighbor significant River NS

2. Photosynthesis

River, XT, Neighbor significant Drought marginal (p=0.09) Drought*Neighbor NS

3. Conductance

River, XT, Drought, Neighbor, and Drought*Neighbor all significant

Drought x Neighbor interactions



Watering Treatment

Fremont cottonwoods have higher conductance and photosynthesis across all treatments. The presence of tamarisk increases these traits for every crosstype



Plasticity to Tamarisk



Plasticity to Drought



Cottonwood Neighbor



Tamarisk Neighbor





Ń

More evidence of selection:

 Q_{ST} - F_{ST} comparisons show evidence for selection by climate means on traits and trait plasticity





1.	BCE
2.	CAF
3.	CCR-COL
4.	CCU
5.	CLF
6.	JLA
7.	ККН
8.	KWF
9.	LBW-BIL
10.	MRN
11.	NRV
12.	PSA
13.	SCT
14.	TSE
15.	TSZ
16.	WHY